

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/967,094	09/28/2001	Siddhartha Bhowmik	Bhownik 7197 12-146-1/075903-3		
29391	7590 08/28/20	02			
•	BROWNLEE, BOW	EXAMINER			
390 NORTH ORANGE AVENUE SUITE 2500			ERDEM, FAZLI		
ORLANDO,	FL 32801		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2826		
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/28/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
•	•	09/967,0		BHOWMIK ET AL.	10/		
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	_/4/_		
	•	Fazli Erd		2826			
	The MAILING DATE of this commun			1	ss		
Period fo	or Reply .						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r earns	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI MISIONS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evalunication. o) days, a reply within the state attactory period will apply and wwill, by statute, cause the apply.	vent, however, may a re tutory minimum of thirty vill expire SIX (6) MON plication to become AB	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this comm  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 28 Sentember	- 2001				
1)⊠	·	ed on <u>28 September</u> 2b)⊠ This action is					
2a)☐	Since this application is in condition	,		ters, prosecution as to the n	nerits is		
3) Dispositi	closed in accordance with the praction of Claims	tice under Ex parte G	Quayle, 1935 C.[	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from co	onsideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-12 is/are rejected	d.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>6 and 13-16</u> is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election i	requirement.				
* ;	ion Papers	_					
•	The specification is objected to by the		Tarana kanala	he Everniner			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:						
44)	Applicant may not request that any obj The proposed drawing correction file.						
11)	If approved, corrected drawings are re			loupprovou by the Examiner			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to						
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,	·				
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been	en received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority			pplication No			
* (	Copies of the certified copies     application from the Interr See the attached detailed Office actions	of the priority docum	nents have been Γ Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Sta	age		
14) 🔲 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	for domestic priority ι	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional ap	oplication).		
á	a)  The translation of the foreign land  Acknowledgment is made of a claim	nguage provisional a	pplication has b	een received.			
Attachme	_						
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-1			

Application/Control Number: 09/967,094

Art Unit: 2826

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 6 and 13-16 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,7 and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin et al. (5,985,749) in view of Trivedi et al (5,981,380) further in view of Chang (6,037,263).

Regarding Claims 1 and 7, Lin et al. discloses a method of forming a via hole structure including CVD tungsten silicide barrier layer where in Fig. 2(A) a metal layer is formed on a sidewall and a bottom surface of the via hole, a tungsten silicide barrier layer is formed on the first metal layer by chemical vapor deposition and the via hole is subsequently filled with a metal. Lin et al. use tungsten silicide and titanium compound as the first and the second barrier layers. Line et al. also do not specifically show the substrate. However, Trivedi et al. disclose a method of forming a local interconnect including selectively etched conductive layers and recess formation where usage of tungsten and tungsten silicide as the first and the second barrier layers

Application/Control Number: 09/967,094

Art Unit: 2826

respectively explained. Furthermore Chang discloses a plasma enhanced CVD deposition of tungsten and tungsten compounds where the substrate is specifically showed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a tungsten first barrier layer and include substrate in order to have a more reliable interconnect structure.

3. Claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11 and 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin et al. (5,985,749) in view of Trivedi et al (5,981,380) further in view of Chang (6,037,263) further in view of Cadien et al. (5,604,158) further in view of Asahina et al. (6,144,097).

Regarding Claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11 and 12, Lin et al. discloses a method of forming a via hole structure including CVD tungsten silicide barrier layer where in Fig. 2(A) a metal layer is formed on a sidewall and a bottom surface of the via hole, a tungsten silicide barrier layer is formed on the first metal layer by chemical vapor deposition and the via hole is subsequently filled with a metal. Lin et al. use tungsten silicide and titanium compound as the first and the second barrier layers. Line et al. also do not specifically show the substrate. However, Trivedi et al. disclose a method of forming a local interconnect including selectively etched conductive layers and recess formation where usage of tungsten and tungsten silicide as the first and the second barrier layers respectively explained. Furthermore Chang discloses a plasma enhanced CVD deposition of tungsten and tungsten compounds where the substrate is specifically showed. Lin et al., Trivedi et al. and Chan fail to specifially show the deposition mechanism for the tungsten/tungsten silicide barrier layers. However, Cadien et al. disclose a method of filling an

Art Unit: 2826

opening in an insulating layer of integrated circuit along with the deposition mechanism and

Asahina et al. show a semiconductor device and method of fabricating same along with the

deposition mechanism of the metal barrier layers.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to include the barrier layer deposition mechanism in Lin et al., Trivedi et al.,

and Chan as taught by Cadien et al. and Asahina et al. in order to produce a reliable metal barrier

layers during contact/wiring formation.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (703) 305-3868. The

examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular

communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the recentionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

FE

August 22, 2002